

# Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis titled *Probing dense matter equation of state in light of neutron star observable constraints*, submitted by *Vivek Baruah Thapa (P18PH007)* to the Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur for the award of the degree of *Doctor of Philosophy*, is a bonafide record of the research work done by him under my supervision. To the best of my knowledge, the contents of this report, in full or in parts, have not been submitted to any other Institute or University for the award of any degree or diploma.

*Monika Sinha.*

*Dr. Monika Sinha  
Ph.D. Thesis Supervisor*

# Declaration

I hereby declare that the work presented in this thesis entitled *Probing dense matter equation of state in light of neutron star observable constraints* submitted to the Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, is a bonafide record of the research work carried out under the supervision of Dr. Monika Sinha. The contents of this thesis in full or in parts, have not been submitted to, and will not be submitted by me to, any other Institute or University in India or abroad for the award of any degree or diploma.

*Vivek Baruah Thapa*

*Vivek Baruah Thapa*  
*P18PH007*

# Acknowledgment

In the beginning, I would like to extend my sincerest thanks to my research advisor Dr. Monika Sinha to guide me well throughout the research work from domain selection to finding the results. Her immense knowledge, motivation and patience have given me more power and spirit to excel in the research writing. Conducting the academic study regarding such a challenging domain could not be as simple as she made this for me. She is my mentor and a better advisor for my doctorate study beyond the imagination.

Apart from my supervisor, I will not forget to express the gratitude to my doctoral committee members: Dr. Ashutosh Kumar Alok, Dr. Kiran Kumar Hiremath and Dr. Reetanjali Moharana, for giving the encouragement and sharing insightful suggestions. They all have played a major role in polishing my research temperament. Their endless guidance is hard to forget throughout my life.

I offer my gratitude to Prof. Armen Sedrakian, Prof. Debades Bandyopadhyay, Dr. Jia Jie Li, Dr. Rana Nandi and Mr. Jaikhomba Singha, who helped me to explore the research domain alongside giving me an opportunity to become part of their team. It would not have been possible to conduct this research without their precious support.

I would always remember my fellow High Energy Physics & Astrophysics Group (HEPAG) lab-mates (Dr. Khushboo Dixit, Trisha, Bhavna, Juhi, Arindam, Bhanu, Neetu, Sunanda, Shalu, Anil Kumar, Pragya and Anil Pradhan) too for the fun-time we spent together, sleepless nights that gave us the courage to complete tasks before deadlines and for stimulating the discussions.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank Ms. Karabi Saikia, Dr. Amitap Khandelwal and Mr. Satyasadhan Dowarah who have been tirelessly motivating me during the ups and downs of my life. Last but not the least, I am grateful to my parents Mr. Husiar Singh Thapa and Mrs. Bina Baruah, my sibling Ms. Dwiti Baruah Thapa, friends and acquaintances who remembered me in their prayers. Without their tremendous understanding and encouragement in the past few years, it would be impossible for me to complete my study.

*Vivek Baruah Thapa*

# List of publications

This thesis is based on the following articles:

1. **Vivek Baruah Thapa** and Monika Sinha. “Dense matter equation of state of a massive neutron star with (anti)kaon condensation”. *Physical Review D*, **102(12)**: 123007, December 2020.
2. **Vivek Baruah Thapa**, Monika Sinha, Jia Jie Li, and Armen Sedrakian. “Massive  $\Delta$ -resonance admixed hypernuclear stars with (anti)kaon condensations”. *Physical Review D*, **103(6)**: 063004, March 2021.
3. **Vivek Baruah Thapa**, Anil Kumar, and Monika Sinha. “Baryonic dense matter in view of gravitational-wave observations”. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **507(2)**: 2991, August 2021.
4. **Vivek Baruah Thapa** and Monika Sinha. “Influence of nuclear symmetry energy on compact stars with  $\Delta$ -admixed hypernuclear matter”. *Physical Review C*, **105(1)**, 015802, January 2022.
5. **Vivek Baruah Thapa**, Monika Sinha, Jia Jie Li, and Armen Sedrakian. “Equation of state of strongly magnetized matter with hyperons and  $\Delta$ -resonances”. *Particles*, **3(4)**: 660, October 2020.
6. **Vivek Baruah Thapa**, Monika Sinha, Jia Jie Li, and Armen Sedrakian. “Dense matter in strong magnetic field: covariant density functional approach”. *Springer Proceedings in Physics*, (In press).

# List of Figures

1.1	QCD phase diagram . . . . .	1
1.2	Schematic representation of NS formation from the onset of iron core-collapse. (Image not to scale) . . . . .	3
1.3	Schematic representation of NS internal structure and composition as a function of radial distance. (Image not to scale) . . . . .	5
1.4	Representation of measured NS masses estimated from pulsar timing data. The vertical dashed and dotted lines represent the simple, weighted mass averages respectively. From Ref.-[Lattimer, 2019]. . . . .	9
1.5	$P - \dot{P}$ diagram for the observed pulsar population. The characteristic age and surface magnetic fields are represented by dashed lines. The binary pulsars, Rotating RADio Transients (RRATs) and magnetars are denoted by circle, star and up-triangles respectively. The ‘pulsar graveyard’ is represented by the lower grey region where the radio emissions from pulsars are expected to be switched off, while the upper grey region represents the NSs with surface magnetic fields larger than the quantum critical point of $4.4 \times 10^{13}$ Gauss. The single dashed region denotes the ‘Vela-like’ pulsars with age 10 – 100 kyr while the cross-dashed region depicts the ‘Crab-like’ pulsars with age below 10 kyr. From Ref.-[Lorimer and Kramer, 2004]. . . . .	10
1.6	Effective NS surface temperatures, $T_S^\infty$ (in units of Kelvin) as a function of the age of NSs (in units of years) for various NS mass configurations. The observational constraint of eight NSs on surface temperature is implemented in this figure. The solid curves represent the different cases considering neutron superfluidity in the crust and core, while the dot-dashed ones denote the cases assuming only proton superfluidity in the core. From Ref.-[Chamel and Haensel, 2008]. . . . .	11
1.7	Schematic representation of <i>glitch</i> phenomena in pulsars. (Image not to scale) .	12
1.8	GW signal reconstruction and interpretation of GW150914 event as different stages, inspiral, merger and ringdown. From Ref.-[Abbott et al., 2016]. . . . .	13
3.1	The effective energy of (anti)kaons as a function of baryon number density, left panels: GMT, right panels: NL3 parametrization. Upper panels: in-medium energies of $\bar{K}^0$ , lower panels: in-medium energies of $K^-$ with several $U_{\bar{K}}$ . The dashed lines represent the respective electron chemical potentials for each model case. The solid curve exhibits the $U_{\bar{K}}$ strengths of $-120$ MeV, dash-dotted lines exhibits $-140$ MeV case and dotted lines exhibits the $-160$ MeV case. . . . .	32

3.2	The variation of matter pressure with energy density. Left panel: GMT parameterization, right panel: NL3 parameterization cases with several (anti)kaon potential depths ( $U_{\bar{K}}$ ). The solid lines represent the composition of only nucleons ( $n, p$ ) and leptons ( $e^-, \mu^-$ ). The other curves represent the composition with (anti)kaons in addition to nucleons and leptons. The dashed curve depicts $U_{\bar{K}} = -120$ MeV, dash-dotted curve with $U_{\bar{K}} = -140$ MeV and dotted curve with $U_{\bar{K}} = -160$ MeV. . . . .	33
3.3	Population densities $n_i$ (in units of $n$ ) of various species as a function of baryon number density. Left panel: GMT, right panel: NL3 model and $K^-$ potential depth of $-160$ MeV. Solid lines denote neutron ( $n$ ), long-dashed lines proton ( $p$ ), dash-dotted curves electron ( $e^-$ ), dotted lines muon ( $\mu^-$ ), short-dashed lines $K^-$ and dash-double dotted lines denote $\bar{K}^0$ population. . . . .	33
3.4	The mass-radius relations corresponding to the EoSs shown in fig.-3.2. Left panel: GMT, right panel: NL3 parameterization. The solid curve corresponds to the pure nucleonic star, while the dashed, dash-dotted, dotted curves correspond to stars with (anti)kaon condensation and optical potentials, $U_{\bar{K}} = -120, -140, -160$ MeV respectively. The mass constraints from the various astrophysical observations are represented by the shaded regions corresponding to the GW190814 observation [Abbott et al., 2020b], MSP J0740+6620 [Cromartie et al., 2020]. The mass-radius limits obtained for PSR J0030+0451 from the NICER experiment [Miller et al., 2019; Riley et al., 2019] are represented by the squared shaded regions. . . . .	34
3.5	Baryon number density ( $n$ ), radial distance ( $r$ ) inside a $2 M_{\odot}$ compact star as a function of volume fraction of the (anti)kaon condensate ( $\chi$ ) for the GMT model case with $U_{\bar{K}} = -160$ MeV. The solid curve denotes $\chi(r)$ , while dashed curve represents $\chi(n)$ . . . . .	35
3.6	Effective nucleon mass, $m_N^*$ as a function of baryon number density for the GMT model case with $U_{\bar{K}} = -160$ MeV. The solid curve denotes $m_N^*(n_B)$ in hadronic phase, while dash-dotted curve represents $m_N^*(n_B)$ in (anti)kaonic phase. Region with $n < 2.2 n_0$ is the pure hadronic phase, between $2.2 \leq n \leq 2.9 n_0$ is the mixed phase and $n > 2.9 n_0$ is the (anti)kaonic phase. . . . .	36
3.7	Charge densities in the pure hadronic phase and (anti)kaon condensed phase as a function of volume fraction of the latter for the GMT model case with $U_{\bar{K}} = -160$ MeV. $Q^h, Q^{\bar{K}}$ denote the charge in hadronic and kaonic phases respectively. . . . .	37
3.8	Energy density as a function of baryon number density for the GMT model with $U_{\bar{K}} = -160$ MeV for the three phases. The solid curve denotes the total energy density variation, while dash-dotted curves represent $\varepsilon^h$ and dash-double dotted curve represent $\varepsilon^{\bar{K}}$ . The three region demarcations are similar as fig.-3.6. . . . .	37
3.9	The equation of states, Left panel: DD-ME2, center panel: DD2, right panel: PKDD parametrization case with several (anti)kaon potential depths ( $U_{\bar{K}}$ ). The solid lines represent the composition of only nucleons ( $n, p$ ) and leptons ( $e^-, \mu^-$ ). The dashed curves depict $U_{\bar{K}} = -120$ MeV, dash-dotted curves with $U_{\bar{K}} = -140$ MeV and dotted curves with $U_{\bar{K}} = -160$ MeV represent the composition with (anti)kaons. . . . .	38

3.10	The TOV solutions corresponding to the EoSs in fig.-3.9. Left panel: DD-ME2, center panel: DD2, right panel: PKDD parametrization. The mass-radius constraints are similar as in fig.-3.4. The solid curve denotes the case with only nucleons and leptons, while the dashed curves correspond to $U_{\bar{K}} = -120$ MeV, dash-dotted curves represents $U_{\bar{K}} = -140$ MeV and dotted curves denote $U_{\bar{K}} = -160$ MeV case. . . . .	39
3.11	The effective energy of (anti)kaons as a function of baryon number density with $U_{\bar{K}} = -120$ MeV. Upper panel: for $\bar{K}^0$ and lower panel: for $K^-$ with solid lines denoting DD-ME2, dash-dotted curves representing DD2 and dotted lines representing PKDD parametrization. . . . .	40
3.12	Same as fig.3.3. Upper panel: DD-ME2, center panel: DD2, lower panel: PKDD parametrization model and $K^-$ potential depth of $-120$ MeV. The different curve representations are similar as in fig.-3.3. . . . .	41
4.1	The effective energy of (anti)kaons as a function of baryon number density in NY $\Delta$ matter for $\Delta$ -potential values $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.10$ (top panels) and $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.23$ (bottom panels). Left and right panels show the energies of $K^-$ and $\bar{K}^0$ respectively. The chemical potential of electron for the same matter composition is depicted by the dashed curve. The solid, dash-dotted, dotted lines represent the $U_{\bar{K}}$ values of $-130, -140, -150$ MeV respectively. . . . .	49
4.2	Pressure as a function of energy density (EoS) for zero-temperature, charge-neutral NY matter (solid lines), NY $\Delta$ matter with $\Delta$ -potential $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.10$ (dashed lines) and $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.23$ (dash-dotted lines). The three panels correspond to different values of (anti)kaon potential: $U_{\bar{K}} = 0$ (left panel), $U_{\bar{K}} = -140$ (middle panel), and $U_{\bar{K}} = -150$ MeV (right panel). . . . .	50
4.3	The mass-radius relationships for EoS shown in fig.-4.2 for NY matter (solid lines), NY $\Delta$ matter with $\Delta$ -potential $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.10$ (dashed lines) and $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.23$ (dash-dotted lines). The three panels correspond to different values of (anti)kaon potential: $U_{\bar{K}} = 0$ , i.e., no (anti)kaon condensation, (left panel), $U_{\bar{K}} = -140$ (middle panel), and $U_{\bar{K}} = -150$ MeV (right panel). The astrophysical constraints from GW190425 [Abbott et al., 2020a], GW190814 [Abbott et al., 2020b], MSP J0740 + 6620 [Cromartie et al., 2020], PSR J0030 + 0451 [Miller et al., 2019; Riley et al., 2019], low-mass X-ray binaries [Steiner et al., 2018] are marked by the shaded regions. . . . .	51
4.4	Particle abundances $n_i$ (in units of $n$ ) as a function of normalized baryon number density in NY matter for values of $U_{\bar{K}} = -140$ MeV (top panel) and $-150$ MeV (bottom panel). . . . .	52
4.5	Same as fig.-4.4 but for NY $\Delta$ matter for $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.10$ (top panel) and $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.23$ (bottom panel) and fixed value of $U_{\bar{K}} = -140$ MeV. . . . .	53
4.6	Same as fig.-4.5 but for a larger (absolute) value of potential $U_{\bar{K}} = -150$ MeV. . . . .	54
4.7	Effective (anti)kaon mass (in units of its bare mass, $m_{\bar{K}}$ ) as a function of baryon number density for NY and NY $\Delta$ matter compositions and two values of (anti)kaon potential depth. . . . .	55
4.8	The EoS of NY matter (left panel) and NY $\Delta$ matter (right panel) with (anti)kaon potential $U_{\bar{K}} = -120$ MeV including $\sigma^*$ meson (dashed lines) and without it (solid lines). The $\Delta$ -potential value is fixed at $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.23$ . . . . .	55

4.9	The $M$ - $R$ relations corresponding to the EoSs in fig.-4.8 are shown for NY matter (left panel) and NY $\Delta$ matter (right panel) with (anti)kaon potential $U_{\bar{K}} = -120$ MeV including $\sigma^*$ meson (dashed lines) and without it (solid lines). The $\Delta$ -potential value is fixed at $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.23$ . The astrophysical observables (constraints) are similar as in fig.-4.3. . . . .	56
4.10	Particle abundances $n_i$ (in units of $n$ ) as a function of normalized baryon number density in NY matter for value of $U_{\bar{K}} = -120$ in the case of $\sigma\omega\rho\phi$ exchange (top panel) and $\sigma\omega\rho\sigma^*\phi$ (bottom panel). (Anti)kaons are absent in the second case.	57
4.11	Same as fig.-4.10 but for NY $\Delta$ matter with $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.23$ . . . . .	58
5.1	Symmetry energy coefficient ( $E_{\text{sym}}$ ) and its corresponding slope parameter ( $L_{\text{sym}}$ ) for all the parametrization models considered in this work. The shaded region represents the current empirical range in $E_{\text{sym}} - L_{\text{sym}}$ plane following recent experimental and microscopic model calculations [Oertel et al., 2017]. . . . .	65
5.2	The family of solutions of TOV equations for matter composed of, upper panel: only nucleons and lower panel: $\Delta$ -admixed hypernuclear matter alongside leptons to maintain $\beta$ -equilibrium. The solid curves denote the $M$ - $R$ curves for different density-dependent model parametrizations, while the dot-dashed curves denote the cases with non-linear scalar model parametrizations. The astrophysical constraints from GW190814 [Abbott et al., 2020b], PSR J1810 + 1744 [Romani et al., 2021], PSR J0030 + 0451 [Miller et al., 2019; Riley et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2020; Landry et al., 2020], PSR J0740 + 6620 [Riley et al., 2021; Miller et al., 2021] are represented by the shaded regions. . . . .	66
5.3	The variation of matter pressure as a function of energy density for $\beta$ -equilibrated matter composed of only nucleons and leptons. The solid curves denote the EoSs for different density-dependent model parametrizations, while the dot-dashed curves denote the cases with non-linear scalar model parametrizations. The constraints on matter pressure evaluated from GW170817 event are denoted by the vertical lines and provided in sec.-5.1. . . . .	68
5.4	Combined dimensionless tidal deformability as a function of mass-ratio ( $q$ ) considering a fixed chirp mass, $\mathcal{M} = 1.188 M_{\odot}$ (GW170817 event). Left panel: nucleonic matter, right panel: $\Delta$ -resonances and baryon octet matter. The solid, dot-dashed lines depicts the DD and NLW type parametrizations respectively. The parametrizations yielding soft EOSs are not shown in the right panel. The horizontal dotted lines denote bounds on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ (with 90% credibility, low-spin priors). The vertical dotted lines represent the mass-ratio, $q = 0.73$ boundary [Abbott et al., 2019]. . . . .	69
5.5	Similar to fig.-5.4 but considering a fixed chirp mass, $\mathcal{M} = 1.43 M_{\odot}$ (GW190425 event) with pure nucleonic matter. The solid, dot-dashed lines depicts the DD and NLW type parametrizations respectively. $\tilde{\Lambda}$ constraints are similar to fig.-5.4. The vertical dotted lines represent the mass-ratio, $q = 0.80$ boundary [Abbott et al., 2020a]. . . . .	71
5.6	Tidal deformability parameters $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ corresponding to the binary components, $M_1, M_2$ for GW170817 event with matter composition as, left panel: pure nucleonic matter, right panel: $\Delta$ -baryon admixed hypernuclear and assuming a fixed chirp mass, $\mathcal{M} = 1.188 M_{\odot}$ . The solid curves denote the DD type parametrizations while the NLW type are denoted by dash-dotted curves. The dotted, short-dashed curves denote the $\tilde{\Lambda} \sim 900$ (TaylorF2), 720 (PhenomPNRT) upper bounds at 90% confidence level (low-spin priors) respectively [Abbott et al., 2017c, 2019]. The diagonal long-dashed line marks $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ case. . . . .	72



5.7	Effective tidal deformability variation with radius of the binary’s primary component for GW170817 and GW190425 events. The solid, dotted, stripped squares represent the DD parametrizations with pure nucleonic, baryon octet, $\Delta$ -admixed hypernuclear matter respectively. The solid circles denote the NLW parametrizations with nucleonic matter case. The quadratic correlations for the GW170817, GW190425 cases are given by short-dashed and dash-dotted curves respectively. The horizontal dotted lines represent bounds on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ similar to figs.-5.4,5.5. The vertical short-dashed lines mark the points where the quadratic fits intersect $\tilde{\Lambda}$ bounds. . . . .	73
5.8	Similar to fig.-5.7 but for secondary components of GW170817 and GW190425 events. The solid, dotted, stripped squares represent the DD parametrizations with pure nucleonic, baryon octet, $\Delta$ -admixed hypernuclear matter respectively. The solid circles denote the NLW parametrizations with nucleonic matter case. The quadratic correlations for the GW170817, GW190425 cases are given by short-dashed and dash-dotted curves respectively. $\tilde{\Lambda}$ constraints are similar to fig.-5.7. . . . .	74
5.9	Dimensionless tidal deformability ( $\Lambda$ ) as a function of the NS mass ( $M$ ) for RMF model parametrizations considered in this work (with $N$ , $NY\Delta$ -matter). DD, NLW type models with $N$ -matter are represented similar to fig.-5.2,5.3. While DD models with $NY\Delta$ -matter are represented by long-dashed curves. The horizontal dotted lines denote the upper bounds on $\Lambda_{1.4} = 800, 580$ obtained from Abbott et al. [2017c] and recent reanalysis Abbott et al. [2019] respectively (GW170817 event). The vertical range denotes joint constraints from NICER observations (PSR J0030 + 0451) & GW170817 event [Jiang et al., 2020] and another obtained implementing Bayesian analysis [Li et al., 2021b] for a $1.4 M_{\odot}$ NS. The various astrophysical constraints are similar as in fig.-5.2. . . . .	75
5.10	Correlation between weighted average tidal deformability and tidal deformability for $1.4 M_{\odot}$ NSs. The short-dashed line denotes the best fit for the EOS data sets. The bounds on $\tilde{\Lambda} \sim 900, 720$ (upper), 400 (lower) corresponding to GW170817 event are represented by the horizontal dotted lines similar to fig.-5.7 and 5.8. The vertical short-dashed lines mark the points where the linear fit intersects $\tilde{\Lambda}$ bounds. Parametrization models involved are similar as in fig.-5.7 and 5.8. . . .	76
5.11	Dimensionless tidal deformability as a function of compactness parameter ( $C$ ) for an isolated NS (with $N$ -matter) for the RMF parametrizations implemented in this work. The bounds on $\Lambda \sim 800, 580$ corresponding to GW170817 event are represented by the horizontal dotted lines similar to fig.-5.9. . . . .	77
5.12	Correlation between tidal deformability and compactness parameter for $1.4 M_{\odot}$ NSs. The short-dashed line denotes the best fit for the EOS data sets. The bounds on $\Lambda_{1.4}$ corresponding to GW170817 event are represented by the horizontal dotted lines similar to figs.-5.9,5.11 in addition to ones deduced from fig.-5.10. The vertical short-dashed lines mark the points where the quadratic fit intersects $\Lambda_{1.4}$ bounds. Parametrization models involved are similar as in figs.-5.7, 5.8, 5.10. . . . .	78

6.1	Nuclear symmetry energy as a function of baryon number density (in units of $n_0$ ) for, upper panel: GM1 and lower panel: DD-MEX coupling parametrizations. The shaded regions denote the constraints on density-dependent symmetry energy from heavy-ion collision data [Tsang et al., 2009, 2011]. The constraint $38 \leq E_{\text{sym}}(2n_0)/\text{MeV} \leq 64$ Li et al. [2021a] at 68% confidence level obtained via analyses of data from recent NS observables and heavy-ion collisions is denoted by the vertical error bars. The solid lines in both the panels represent the original coupling parametrizations. The other cases with adjusted values of $L_{\text{sym}}$ at $n_0$ are denoted by dot-dot-dashed ( $L_{\text{sym}} = 35$ ), dotted ( $L_{\text{sym}} = 50$ ), dash-dotted ( $L_{\text{sym}} = 65$ ) and dashed ( $L_{\text{sym}} = 85$ ) curves respectively. . . . .	84
6.2	Isovector coupling to nucleons as a function of baryon number density (in units of $n_0$ ) in case of GM1 (upper panel) and DD-MEX (lower panel) parametrizations. The different curves represent the same cases as captioned in fig.-6.1. . . . .	85
6.3	Pressure variation as a function of energy density (EOS) for $T = 0$ case with matter compositions as, left panels: pure nucleonic, middle panels: NY $\Delta$ ( $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.10$ ) and right panels: NY $\Delta$ ( $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.20$ ) for different $L_{\text{sym}}(n_0)$ values in upper panels: GM1 and lower panels: DD-MEX parametrizations. The different curves represent the same cases as captioned in fig.-6.1. The matter pressure constraint (vertical line) at $n \sim 2n_0$ is deduced from GW170817 [Abbott et al., 2018] event data. . . . .	86
6.4	Solutions of TOV equations corresponding to left panels: pure N-matter, middle panels: NY $\Delta$ ( $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.10$ ) and right panels: NY $\Delta$ ( $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.20$ ) EoSs displayed in fig.-6.3 for upper panels: GM1 and lower panels: DD-MEX parametrizations. The different curves represent the same cases as captioned in fig.-6.1. The astrophysical observable constraints from GW190814 [Abbott et al., 2020b], PSR J0740 + 6620 [Riley et al., 2021; Miller et al., 2021], PSR J0348 + 0432 [Antoniadis et al., 2013] and PSR J0030 + 0451 [Miller et al., 2019; Riley et al., 2019] are represented by shaded regions. The horizontal lines represent the joint radius constraints from PSR J0030 + 0451 and GW170817 event data for a typical $1.4 M_{\odot}$ NS [Jiang et al., 2020; Landry et al., 2020]. . . . .	88
6.5	Particle populations, $n_i$ (in units of $n$ ) as a function of baryon number density for NY $\Delta$ -matter ( $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.20$ ) with upper panel: $L_{\text{sym}}(n_0) = 93.86$ MeV (original), lower panel: $L_{\text{sym}}(n_0) = 50$ MeV cases within non-linear coupling (GM1) parametrization. . . . .	91
6.6	Similar to fig.-6.5 but with upper panel: $L_{\text{sym}}(n_0) = 49.57$ MeV (original), lower panel: $L_{\text{sym}}(n_0) = 85$ MeV cases within density-dependent coupling (DD-MEX) parametrization. . . . .	92
6.7	Strangeness fraction, $f_s$ as a function of baryon number density for matter composition as, upper panels: NY and lower panels: NY $\Delta$ ( $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.20$ ) with varying $L_{\text{sym}}$ for GM1 and DD-MEX parametrizations. The different curves represent the same cases as captioned in fig.-6.1. . . . .	93
6.8	Sound velocity (in units of $c$ ) as a function of energy density for, upper panels: pure nucleonic, lower panels: $\Delta$ -admixed hypernuclear matter ( $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.20$ ) with left panels: non-linear (GM1) and right panels: density-dependent (DD-MEX) coupling models. The different curves represent the same cases as captioned in fig.-6.1. . . . .	94

6.9	Upper panel: Compactness parameter, lower panel: tidal deformability as a function of $L_{\text{sym}}$ considering matter composition to be pure N, NY $\Delta$ ( $R_{\sigma\Delta}=1.20$ ) with non-linear (GM1) and density-dependent (DD-MEX) coupling models. The different curves denote the EoS models as labelled. . . . .	95
6.10	Tidal deformability as a function of NS mass for, left panels: pure nucleonic, right panels: NY $\Delta$ ( $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.20$ ) matter with varying $L_{\text{sym}}$ values in upper panels: GM1, lower panels: DD-MEX parametrizations. The different curves represent the same cases as captioned in fig.-6.1. The vertical lines denote the bounds on $\Lambda_{1.4}$ deduced in refs.-Abbott et al. [2019]; Jiang et al. [2020]. . . . .	96
7.1	Left panel: EoS of matter in the absence of magnetic field for three compositions considered. Solid, dashed, dash-dotted lines correspond to the cases of nucleons only (N), nucleons and hyperons (NY), nucleons, hyperons and Delta (NY $\Delta$ ), respectively. The circles indicate the location of the maximum mass star for each composition. Right panel: the mass-radius ( $M-R$ ) relations corresponding to the EoS on the left panel obtain through solutions of the TOV equations. The mass constraints from the various astrophysical observations are represented by the colored bands and correspond to the GW190814 event [Abbott et al., 2020b], MSP J0740+6620 [Cromartie et al., 2020] and the mass-radius limits inferred for PSR J0030+0451 from the NICER experiment [Miller et al., 2019; Riley et al., 2019]. . . . .	103
7.2	Dependence of the ratio $\delta Y_i = n_i(B)/n_i(0)$ on the baryon number density normalized to the nuclear saturation density $n_0$ for neutrons ( $n$ ), protons ( $p$ ), $\Lambda^0$ , $\Xi^0$ , $\Xi^-$ , $\Delta^0$ , $\Delta^-$ , $e^-$ and $\mu^-$ . The particle markers are indicated in the panel on the right. The upper panel corresponds to the exponential field profile, the lower one to the universal field profile. . . . .	105
7.3	The ratio $Y_i^b$ of the partial fractions of strange or non-strange baryons in presence of a magnetic field to that without a magnetic field as a function of baryon number density, $n$ in units of $n_0$ in the case of NY $\Delta$ composition. Upper panel corresponds to the exponential field profile, the lower panel to the universal field profile. . . . .	105
7.4	Dependence of the ratio $X_{m_n^*} = m_n^*(B)/m_n^*(0)$ of the effective nucleon Dirac mass in the magnetic field to its value in the absence of the field on baryon number density $n$ in units of $n_0$ in the case of NY $\Delta$ composition. The result for the exponential field profile is shown by a solid and for universal by a dash-dotted line. . . . .	106

# List of Tables

2.1	Parameter values of the non-linear scalar RMF coupling models considered in our work. Here, $g_2 = bm_N g_{\sigma N}^3$ and $g_3 = cg_{\sigma N}^4$ . The nucleon mass, $m_N$ is considered to be $\sim 939$ MeV in this work. . . . .	21
2.2	The nuclear properties of the RMF models at respective $n_0$ . . . . .	22
2.3	The parameter and coefficient values for the density-dependent coupling parametrizations, at $n_0$ . . . . .	25
3.1	Parameter values of the scalar $\sigma$ meson-(anti)kaon couplings in different parametrizations at $n_0$ considered in this study. . . . .	31
3.2	Threshold densities, $n_{cr}$ for (anti)kaon condensation in dense nuclear matter for different values of $K^-$ optical potential depths $U_{\bar{K}}$ at $n_0$ . . . . .	34
3.3	Parameter values of the maximum mass stars from fig.-3.4. Here, maximum mass, $M_{max}$ , radius and corresponding central density $n_c$ of nucleon compact stars for different values of $K^-$ optical potential depths $U_{\bar{K}}$ at $n_0$ in GMT and NL3 parametrization models. . . . .	35
3.4	Threshold densities, $n_{cr}$ for antikaon condensation in dense nuclear matter for different values of $K^-$ optical potential depths $U_{\bar{K}}$ at $n_0$ with density-dependent DD-ME2, DD2, PKDD parametrizations. . . . .	38
3.5	Maximum mass, $M_{max}$ (in units of $M_\odot$ ), radius (in km), corresponding central density (in units of $n_0$ ) of nucleon compact stars for different values of $K^-$ optical potential depths $U_{\bar{K}}$ (in units of MeV) at $n_0$ in DD-ME2, DD2, PKDD parametrization models. . . . .	39
4.1	Properties of the various exotic particles considered in this study. . . . .	46
4.2	Scalar meson-hyperon coupling constants for DD-ME2 parametrization. . . . .	47
4.3	Scalar $\sigma$ meson-(anti)kaon coupling parameter values in DD-ME2 parametrization at $n_0$ . . . . .	48
4.4	Threshold densities, $n_u$ for (anti)kaon condensation in N, NY and NY $\Delta$ matter for different values of $\Delta$ -potentials and $K^-$ optical potential depths $U_{\bar{K}}(n_0)$ . . . . .	49
4.5	Properties of maximum mass stars for various compositions and values of (anti)kaon potential $U_{\bar{K}}(n_0)$ . For each composition/potential value the entries include: maximum mass (in units of $M_\odot$ ) the radius, and central number density. . . . .	52
4.6	Properties of maximum mass stars for various compositions, $U_{\bar{K}} = -120$ MeV, $R_{\sigma\Delta} = 1.23$ in the cases with $\sigma^*$ meson and without. In both cases we list the maximum mass, the radius and central number density. . . . .	56
5.1	Summary of TOV results evaluated from parameter sets considered in this work (pure $N$ -matter, refer to upper panel of fig.-5.2). Fulfillment of the mass-radius constraints from various astrophysical observations are marked by +(-). . . . .	67

5.2	Observational properties of various RMF models with different matter compositions. Here, $q$ represents the mass ratio of the secondary component ( $M_2$ ) to primary one ( $M_1$ ) involved in GW event. $C_{1.4}$ denotes the compactness parameter for a $1.4M_\odot$ NS. . . . .	70
5.3	Threshold densities denoted by $n_u^Y$ (in units of $n_0$ ) for onset of hyperons in hypernuclear dense matter for various DD RMF models. $n_{1.4}^{c(Y)}$ represents the central number density for a $1.4M_\odot$ NS with hypernuclear matter composition. $\Lambda_{1.4}^N, \Lambda_{1.4}^{NY}$ are the dimensionless tidal deformability of a $1.4M_\odot$ NS with nucleonic and hypernuclear matter respectively. . . . .	74
6.1	$a_\rho$ coefficient values for various estimations of $L_{\text{sym}}(n_0)$ and corresponding $K_{\text{sym}}(n_0)$ for GM1 and DD-MEX coupling models. . . . .	83
6.2	Scalar meson-hyperon coupling constants, $R_{\sigma Y} = g_{\sigma Y}/g_{\sigma N}$ (normalized to meson-nucleon coupling) for considered parametrizations in this work. . . . .	84
6.3	Threshold densities, $n_u$ for hyperons and $\Delta$ -quartet in NY and NY $\Delta$ matter with varying $L_{\text{sym}}$ at $n_0$ values in GM1 parametrization. . . . .	87
6.4	Similar to table-6.3 but with DD-MEX parametrization. . . . .	87
6.5	NS properties evaluated from the EOS considering various matter compositions (N, NY, NY $\Delta$ ) with varying $L_{\text{sym}}(n_0)$ values with non-linear (GM1) and density-dependent (DD-MEX) coupling schemes. The maximum gravitational mass NS and its corresponding radius are denoted by $M_{\text{max}}$ and $R$ respectively; central number density, central energy density, central matter pressure are represented by $n_c, \varepsilon_c$ and $P_c$ . Matter pressures at 2 and 6 times saturation densities are denoted by $P(2n_0)$ and $P(6n_0)$ respectively. The global properties such as radius, compactness parameter, tidal Love number and tidal deformability for a $1.4M_\odot$ NS are given by $R_{1.4}, C_{1.4}, k_{2(1.4)}$ and $\Lambda_{1.4}$ respectively. . . . .	89
6.6	Continuation of table-6.5. . . . .	90
6.7	Coefficient values of the quadratic fits in eqn.-(6.7). The coefficient of determination, $\mathcal{R}^2 \sim 0.999$ for all the fits considered in this work. . . . .	94
7.1	Parameter values of the maximum-mass stars for non-magnetic stellar sequences derived for three different compositions. Here, $M_{\text{max}}, R, \varepsilon_c$ denote the maximum mass, corresponding radius and central energy density, respectively. . . . .	104
7.2	The values of the energy–density, pressure and number density at the center of non-magnetized stellar models which were used to obtain the universal relation (7.12) as a function of density (instead of the internal radius). We also list the mass and the radius for each model. . . . .	104